

(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

# Improving Network Lifetime by Using Analytic Model in Wireless Sensor Networks

<sup>1</sup>Dr.K. Suresh Babu, <sup>2</sup>S.Prasannalaxmi

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, School of Information Technology, JNTUH, Kukatpally, Hyderabad, India

<sup>2</sup>M.Tech Student, Department of CSE, School of Information Technology, JNTUH, Kukatpally, Hyderabad, India

**ABSTRACT:** In Wireless sensor networks, the sensors are connected with the devices to observe their performance anddata. The Wireless sensor network, the energy consumed by the resources are measured across the remotelocation (base stations). There are varied performance metric used like network life to evaluate battery poweredsensor nodes. These nodes transfer the information packets from one station to a different. Because of energy hole in wireless sensornetwork, the entire network gets disabled. This technique determines the boundary of energy hole in information gatheringwireless sensor network and estimate the traffic load, energy consumption and lifetime of sensor nodes throughout theentire network lifetime. Thissystem defines the analytical model to balance the energy consumption and improve thenetwork lifetime.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless sensor Network (WSN) may be a collection of sensor nodes that are placed geographically in a very distributed environment. The nodes within the network are capable of performing sensing. Usually they capture information from surroundings and send to base station or sink. The sensor nodes so play important role in several world applications like temperature watching, surveillance, home monitoring, learning life environment besides serving different civilian and military purposes. A typical WSN appears as shown in Figure 1. The network may be connected to Internet servers and so individuals from remote places will view information collected by sensor network. Theproblem with such devices in WSN is that they need restricted energy with batter power;once energy is completed, a sensor node gets changed. So the lifetime of the network goes down drastically.



Figure 1: A typical WSN

As shown in Figure one, the WSN has to be supported with energy economical suggests that of communication so as to maximize network lifetime. There are several utilities once network lifetime is monitored and hyperbolic. Network lifetime is incredibly necessary for continued services rendered by deployed WSN. During this context, it's essential to monitor the network for energy economical approaches and optimizations. During this paper we tend to propose an analytical model that focuses on estimating network life time and energy efficiency so as to create well hip decisions. We tend to planned 2 algorithms towards this finish; we engineered WSN exploitation NS2 and also the proof of the construct is demonstrated. The results discovered that the proposed model is capable of shedding light into the



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: www.ijirset.com

#### Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

requirement for evaluating network life time and evolution of energy hole. As energy holes drain the energy of WSN, this study assumes significance. However, since the nodes close to the sink should forward the information packets from different nodes, they exhaust their energy quickly, resulting in an energy hole round the sink. As a result, the whole network is subject to premature death as a result of its separated by the energy hole. There are many existing works finding out the energy consumption and network time period analysis for WSNs. Most of them target the period from network data format to the time once the primary node dies (i.e., 1st Node Died Time, FNDT), about to improve the network performances and optimize the FNDT. although most of existing works are effective to estimate FNDT, the amount from FNDT to the time once all the sensor nodes are dead or the network is completely disabled (i.e., All Node Died Time, ANDT) is relatively long. For most applications, little portion of dead nodes may not cause a network failure, though they'll impact the network performances Energy hole is crucial and difficult for time period analysis in WSNs, as a result of it will cause a premature death of the network. Olariu et al. 1st prove that the energy hole drawback is inevitable within the WSN below some specific conditions. Perillo et al. analyze in what condition the energy holes may appear. Rahim et. al. discuss the load balancing techniques to mitigate energy hole drawback in large- scale WSNs, and propose a distributed heuristic answer to balance the energy consumption of sensor nodes by adjusting their transmission power. The energy hole drawback has additionally been studied in cluster-based WSNs. Most of the prevailing works, that energy hole locates round the sink, and style energy-efficient routing protocols to mitigate the unbalanced energy consumption and prolong the network lifetime. However, recent investigations mean that energy hole doesn't always emerge near the sink and extremely depends on some network parameters, like the energy consumption model and transmission vary of sensor nodes. However, theoretic analysis isn't provided in existing works to estimate the emerging time and location of the energy hole, also as its evolution method.

#### **II. RELATED WORK**

In wireless sensor networks, sensor nodes with regards to the sink node consume additional energy than others as a result of their forced to relaying packet traffic towards for the sink and end in premature death of network resources. Energy hole is major drawback for lifetime analysis in WSNs, as a result of it will cause early die of the network nodes and results into whole network failure. In existing system network is divided into a number of annulus whose widths are capable the transmission vary of the sensor nodes, and every one the sensor nodes within the same bandwidth are assumed to die one by one. In existing WSN sensor nodes transmit the information packet via hop to hop communication. In this case all sensor nodes nearest node got to spatially aware to require path towards transmission. Defines the building area network for high-traffic advanced metering infrastructure in sensible grid applications; using multi-interface ZigBee, high-traffic communication in these building area networks (BANs), a multi-interface management framework defined; This method provides the look engineer with seven recommendations for a generic MIZBAN style.Smart grid communication technology supports advanced metering infrastructure.The sensible metering, AMI(advanced metering infrastructure) additionally facilitates utilities to perform demand response, and so energy demand is reduced. So numerous AMI pilot comes are created round the world, e.g. in Australia, Japan, the U. S., and Europe, and most of those are designed for individual homes. This system has edges The RTT measure is barely carried by sender then no further network traffic has been generated. RTT provides the Updated transmission condition of the whole path as a result of the trail price cannot be updated frequently as a result of it will only be updated by activity route discovery, which can degrade the network performance. This system includes Channel choice algorithmic program and Interface choice algorithmic program. In, author describes a ZigBee In-Patient observance system embedded with a replacement ZigBee quality management solution. This method permits ZigBee device quality in an exceedingly fastened ZigBee network. This method provides valuation that shows that the new algorithmic program offers a decent potency, leading to a coffee management price. The system will save lives by supporting a push and might be used as a location discover service. A case study stress on the Princes of Wales Hospital in port is enlisted and findings are given. This investigation reveals that the developed mobile solutions supply promising added services for several potential ZigBee applications. Author planned the wireless detector networks, the nodes have restricted power offer and typically network lifetime expected as per the necessity. Therefore the energy consumption and network lifetime are the key factors to realize in WSN. This paper proposes associate overlay, energy optimized, and detector network to increase the practical lifespan of associate energy intensive sensor network application. The overlay network consists of extra nodes that expose recent advances in energy harvest home and wake-up radio technologies, including



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: www.ijirset.com

#### Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

an application specific, complementary and ultra-low power detector. The experimental results and simulations prove that this study will guarantee survivability of energyinefficient sensor networks. This paper exploits these techniques to require advantage of the advantages to increase the lifetime of the network whereas maintaining similar (or improved) security.

### **III. FRAME WORK**

In this section, we tend to in theory estimate the traffic load and energy consumption of detector nodes, similarly because the period of each network stage supported our system model.



Fig: 1. Description of the entire process of network lifetime

The main plan of the analytic model is represented asfollows. We have a tendency to initial divide the network into variety of tinyregions wherever the nodes have similar distances to the sink. Since the energy consumption of the detector nodes within the sameregion (i.e., with the similar distances to the sink) should be the same from a statistical purpose of read, we use the commonenergy consumption of this region because the nodal energy consumption of this region. Fig. 2 shows a sector zone of thenetwork, wherever Ax could be a region with the width of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\theta$  is that the angle shaped by Ax and therefore the sink. The nodes' distances to thesink in Ax equal or are near x. Since the nodal transmissionradius is r, Ax is meant to forward the information from  $A_{x+r}$  whose distance to Ax is r. Likewise,  $A_{x+r}$  relays the information from  $A_{x+2r}$ . However, if the divided region is comparatively large (i.e.,  $\varepsilon$  is relatively large), the energy consumption of the sensor nodes in it can't be balanced. On the opposite hand, if the divided region is just too small, there can be no node during this region.



Fig: 2 Dataforwarding model

**3.1 Traffic Load Analysis at S0** indicates a stage once no node dies, and therefore is that the mostimportant stage with the simplest performance. We initial analyze the traffic load of sensor nodes supported our analytic model described higher than, by the subsequent theorem.

**3.2 Energy Consumption Analysis at S0 and Estimation of 10**The traffic load of detector nodes at S0. If every information packet contains  $\tau$  bits, the totalamount of transmitted information is PX0 $\tau$ . During this paper, energy consumption for network management isn't thought of since it's almost a similar for every node and comparatively tiny in greedy geographic routing. Therefore, we have a tendency to confirm the energy consumption of detector nodes at S0 within the following theorem. The energy consumption of node j consists of the subsequent three elements:

(a)Energy consumption for information receiving

(b)Energy consumption for information transmittal

(c)Energy consumption for idle listening



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: www.ijirset.com

#### Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

#### 3.3 Estimation on Traffic Load, Energy Consumption andNetwork lifetime from S1 to Sk-1

In the previous subsections, we've determined the trafficload and energy consumption of detector nodes at S0. In this subsection, we have a tendency to analyze the traffic load and energy consumption of the sensor nodes once S0, which is complicated as a result of network routing methods changedynamically once S0. At first, we should always decide that that a part of sensor nodes diefirst. In step with the sensor nodes with the utmost energy consumption can die initially. In step with our analytic model, the energy consumption of the sensor nodes during a region with width of  $\varepsilon$  are a similar and therefore the energy consumption of the regions with a similar distance to the sink should be a similar too. Therefore, the network is divided into variety of ring regions with similar energy consumption and therefore the width of  $\varepsilon$ .

#### **3.4 Energy Hole and Network Characteristics**

#### 3.4.1Analysis on the Energy Hole Evolution

In this section, we have a tendency to investigate the temporal and spatialevolution of energy hole supported our analytical result. Thetraffic load and energy consumption of the sensor nodes andthe network period of time are determined, where the termination condition is that the sink cannot receive any information in a information amount, that consists of 2 cases. One is all nodesdie as a result of energy exhaustion, the opposite is a few nodes stillhave remaining energy, however the sink is separated from theouter nodes once the formation of the energy hole. Thus, even if the network still has remaining energy, the network becomes useless and is additionally thought of as disabled.

#### 3.4.2 Observation on Network characteristics

The higher than analysis provides a comprehensive answer todetermine the traffic load, energy consumption, and networklifetime, similarly because the energy hole boundary for a WSN;Based on these analytical results, we have a tendency to conclude 20bservations on network characteristics as follows.

(1)If the sensor nodes are uniformly deployed within thenetwork, the node density has no impact on theFNDT. In step with our analytical results, FNDTdepends on most nodal energy consumption atS0, whereas nodal energy consumption is decided by the traffic load of sensor nodes. Consistent withThm. 1, traffic load is unrelated to node density, which proves that node density has very little impact ontraffic load. It additionally indicates that it's useless to improve the period of time by increasing the node density.

(2)There exists a best transmission vary r tomaximize the network lifetime. In step with thm 1,the transmission varies of the detector nodes r directlyimpacts the traffic loads of detector nodes, whichdetermines the energy consumption and lifetime of the network. Therefore, we will set the besttransmission vary r for the detector nodes tomaximize the network lifetime. Since networklifetime is estimated under a needed deathratio in the middle of Corollary, and therefore the choices of r are restricted, the best r is found to maximize  $l^{1\%}$  withbrute-force testing. Here density management protocol is introduced for reducing the energy consumption and increase the network lifetime. Itconsists of that the node density will increase means that networkcoverage are going to be lesser and therefore the energy consumption and increase the lifetime of the sensor network. Density management during a wireless sensornetwork refers to the method of deciding that node iseligible to sleep (enter power-saving mode) once randomdeployment to conserve energy whereas holding networkcoverage. A replacement density management protocol that desires nolocation data; It attemptsto approach a bestsensor choice pattern that demands the smallest amount range of working (awake) sensors;

#### **IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

enter the network size (the total no. of nodes to be created) and region size (the covering area of each region (here we are creating 2 regions) the node which is nearer to the sink node will act as the energy hole in every region and the data transmitted from every node to base station will be send through energy hole, sending the data to sink node.determine energy holewith this the node which is nearer to sink node will become energy hole and the next nearest will send the data to sink node.Display sink node distance graph.



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



#### V. CONCLUSION

Proposed system performsplanning to overcome the network traffic load, reduce energy consumption, and lifetime of sensor nodes in a very information assortment. During this system, we've evaluated the network lifetime under failing nodes, and analyzed the rising time and placement of energy hole, similarly as its evolution method. Additionally to the present, two network characteristics are found supported our analytic results, which might be leveraged to guide the WSN design and optimization. Our simulation results demonstrate that the planned analytic model will estimate the network lifetime and energy hole evolution method inside an error rate smaller than five-hitter. Finally, we've applied our analytic results to WSN routing. Proposed routing scheme is leads to results of with efficiency balance the energy consumption and increase the network lifetime.

#### REFRENCES

[1] Y. Tung et al., "The generic design of a high-traffic advanced metering infrastructure using zigbee," IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat., vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 836–844, Feb. 2014.

[2] C. Tung et al., "A mobility enabled inpatient monitoring system using a zigbee medical sensor network," Sensors, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 2397–2416, 2014.

[3] C. Caione, D. Brunelli, and L. Benini, "Distributed compressive sampling for lifetime optimization in dense wireless sensor networks," IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat., vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 30–40, Feb. 2012.

[4] M. Magno, D. Boyle, D. Brunelli, E. Popovici, and L. Benini, "Ensuring survivability of resource intensive sensor networks through ultra-low power overlays," IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat., vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 946–956, May 2014.

[5] J. Ren, Y. Zhang, and K. Liu, "An energy-efficient cyclic diversionary routing strategy against global eavesdroppers in wireless sensor networks," Int. J. Distrib. Sensor Netw., vol. 2013, pp. 1–16, 2013.

[6] Q. Chen, S. Kanhere, and M. Hassan, "Analysis of per-node traffic load in multi-hop wireless sensor networks," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 958–967, Feb. 2009.

[7] J. Li and G. AlRegib, "Network lifetime maximization for estimation in multihop wireless sensor networks," IEEE Trans. Signal Process., vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 2456–2466, Jul. 2009.

[8] Y. Chen and Q. Zhao, "On the lifetime of wireless sensor networks," IEEE Commun.Lett., vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 976–978, Nov. 2005.

[9] Z. Cheng, M. Perillo, and W. Heinzelman, "General network lifetime and cost models for evaluating sensor network deployment strategies," IEEE Trans. Mobile Comput., vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 484–497, Apr. 2008.

[10] C.-S. Ok, S. Lee, P. Mitra, and S. Kumara, "Distributed energy balanced routing for wireless sensor networks," Comput. Ind. Eng., vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 125–135, 2009.